

2007-2008 BOARD

PRESIDENT

Nancy E. Davidson, MD

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT

Gabriel N. Hortobagyi, MD

PRESIDENT-ELECT

Richard L. Schilsky, MD

TREASURER

Bruce J. Roth, MD

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Allen S. Lichter, MD

DIRECTORS

Dean F. Bajorin, MD
Howard A. Burris, III, MD
Alexander M. Eggermont, MD, PhD
Waun Ki Hong, MD
Thomas A. Marsland, MD
Barbara L. McAneny, MD
Robert S. Miller, MD
Martine J. Piccart-Gebhart, MD, PhD
Kathleen I. Pritchard, MD
Gregory H. Reaman, MD
Deborah Schrag, MD, MPH
George W. Sledge, Jr., MD
Joel E. Tepper, MD
Jamie Hayden Von Roenn, MD

2008 Annual Meeting May 30-June 3, 2008 Chicago, Illinois

For more information about ASCO Meetings Phone: (703) 631-6200 Fax: (703) 818-6425 Website: www.asco.org May 2, 2008

Steve Phurrough, MD, MPA
Director
Coverage and Analysis Group
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
7500 Security Boulevard, Mail Stop C1-09-06
Baltimore, Maryland 21244

RE: National Coverage Analysis for Positron Emission Tomography (FDG) for Brain, Cervical, Ovarian, Pancreatic, Small Cell Lung, and Testicular Cancers (CAG-00181R)

Dear Dr. Phurrough:

The American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) is the leading organization representing physicians engaged in cancer research and care. We are writing to support the request submitted by the National Oncologic PET Registry (NOPR) Working Group for coverage of Positron Emission Tomography (PET) across all oncologic indications except for monitoring response to treatment.

The NOPR Working Group has reported data primarily related to the use of PET for brain, cervical, ovarian, pancreatic, small cell lung, and testicular cancers that support Medicare coverage for diagnosis, staging, and restaging purposes. The principal finding from the NOPR is that PET usage is associated with a 36.5% change in the treatment or no-treatment decision. Within this group for whom the treatment decision is changed, PET findings prompted a change from a nontreatment to a treatment plan three times more frequently than they prompted a shift from treatment to non-treatment. The PET findings also resulted in changes in the goal of treatment. These data suggest that use of PET results in clinically important changes in cancer treatment and that Medicare beneficiaries will benefit from coverage of PET. The NOPR data set and findings are reported in detail in the Journal of Clinical Oncology. The evidence from the NOPR supports a decision for Medicare coverage of PET in cancer diagnosis, staging, and restaging/suspected recurrence. As the NOPR has actually collected data on all cancers that are not already covered by Medicare, we believe that it is appropriate to extend this coverage to all cancer indications.

We believe the PET Registry serves as an example of how the Coverage with Evidence Development process may be effectively applied for diagnostic and treatment methods that would not otherwise meet Medicare coverage standards. We look forward to a prompt decision by CMS to extend Medicare coverage to PET

¹ Hillner BE, Siegel BA, Liu D, et al: Impact of Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography and Positron Emission Tomography (PET) Alone on Expected Management of Patients with Cancer: Initial Results From the National Oncologic PET Registry. J Clin Oncol 26:1-7, 2008.

across all oncologic indications except for monitoring treatment. We understand that the NOPR Working Group will continue to collect data regarding use of PET in treatment monitoring.

Sincerely,

Joseph S. Bailes, MD

Joseph S. Bails

Chair, ASCO Government Relations Council

cc: Stuart Caplan, RN, MAS

Katherine Tillman, RN, MA